Skin Care: Nurse **Disqualified As Expert In Critical** Cardiac Care.

everal days after cardiac-bypass surgery the nurses in the cardiac critical- dent to the bathroom commode. care unit discovered a pressure blister on decubitus ulcer on his coccyx.

plastic surgery for the sacral pressure ulcer. from a co-worker.

He sued the hospital where he had his \$300,000 jury verdict in his favor.

The patient's nursing expert was allowed to testify about routine skin assessment and care for hospital patients in general.

However, her professional nursing background did not include the care of critically ill patients.

Her testimony as to the standard of care for critical care nurses was not a sound basis for a legal case against the hospital.

> SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA November 18, 2011

The Supreme Court of Alabama threw pensation. out the jury's verdict.

The critically ill patient was at times at risk of death. His critical-care caregivers were struggling with post-operative bleed- ever been educated as to the risk to herself ing and he was on a ventilator much of the of injury from failure to use proper techtime. In deciding how and when to reposition him the nurses had to prioritize potentially life-threatening considerations.

to turn every patient every two hours advocated by the patient's nursing expert incorvolved in his care, the Court said. **Spring**hill Hosp. v. Critopoulos, __ So. 3d __, 2011 WL 5607816 (Ala., November 18, 2011).

Worker's Comp: Aide's Claim Will Go Forward.

he first time the CNA injured her hip **L** she was in the process of making a two-person transfer of a bed-bound resi-

That afternoon she injured the same bypass for negligence allegedly committed hip again, this time trying to move a pa- ple realized their existing assets were rapby the critical care nurses and obtained a tient by herself from a wheelchair to bed. idly being depleted and inquired how they The patient's care plan identified her as a high fall risk and called for two-person transfers or use of a Hoyer lift. This second injury kept the CNA off work several days.

> An employee injured on the job due to the emplovee's own culpable negligence cannot be awarded worker's comp benefits.

> Thoughtless, heedless or inadvertent acts, mere errors in judgment or simple inattention do not constitute culpable negligence.

SUPREME COURT OF WYOMING February 2, 2012

The Supreme Court of Wyoming ruled the CNA was not guilty of culpable negligence and was entitled to worker's com-

Staff Not Educated About Own Risk From Incorrect Transfer Technique

There was no evidence the CNA had for remaining in the facility. nique in transferring a patient. The facility's policy for two-person transfers of high-fall-risk patients, as the CNA appar- tected by Federal law. The generic hospital-nursing mandate ently understood the policy, was solely intended to promote patient safety. There was a skilled nursing facility or a nursing was no evidence the facility took steps to facility as defined by Federal law. Either rectly oversimplified the complexities in- educate care-giving staff that the policy way there is a prohibition from insisting on was there for their own protection as well. a third-party guarantee, the Court said. Shepherd of the Valley v. Fulmer, __ P. 3d __, 2012 WL 309532 (Wyo., February 2, 2012).

Long-Term Care: **Facility Cannot Require Payment** Guarantee.

he elderly couple signed a complicated financial agreement when they When her hip popped out of place a entered the facility. At first they would the back of the patient's neck and a Stage I physical therapy aide on duty was able to live in an assisted living apartment. Later pop the hip back in place. The CNA con- the entrance agreement contemplated they After being released from the hospital tinued working, transferring seven or eight would be transferred to skilled nursing care the patient went to another hospital for more residents that morning with help or custodial nursing care as their needs progressed.

> After moving into the facility the coucould draw into the sizeable sum denominated in the contract as the "unearned portion of the entrance fee" as a means to continue making their monthly payment.

Facility management at that point informed them by letter that they had to come up with a signature from a thirdparty guarantor of payment or leave the facility. They had to move out.

Federal law strictly prohibits a nursing facility or a skilled nursing facility from requiring a third-party guarantee of payment as a condition for entering or remaining in the facility.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **FLORIDA** February 8, 2012

The US District Court for the Southern District of Florida ruled that the facility violated Federal law by insisting on a third -party guarantee of payment as a condition

By violating Federal law the facility also violated Florida state law which gives nursing facility residents the right to sue a facility for violation of their rights pro-

It was not relevant whether the facility Altman v. Lifespace, 2012 WL 414826 (S.D. Fla., February 8, 2012).