Discrimination: US Appeals Court Strikes Down Race-Based Patient-Care Assignments.

long-term care facility had an express policy calling for residents' racial preferences to be taken into account in assigning CNA caregivers.

would violate residents' rights to personal privacy and autonomy in making healthcare decisions, rights ostensibly guaranteed by state law and regulations defining Federal Medicare and Medicaid patient-care allow an opposite-sex caregiver to provide standards.

posted for the CNA's had a column for sex caregiver. miscellaneous treatment notes which expressly said "Prefers No Black CNA's" for personal privacy right that is violated when certain residents. African-Americans were a patient is required against his or her "banned" from interacting with such resi- wishes to undress in front of and/or be

The CNA in question was also the object of racial slurs from her coworkers throughout her three months at the facility.

After she complained several times she was abruptly terminated for allegedly using a vulgar word for defecation while patient's preference for a same-sex careshe and another CNA were assisting a white resident onto the commode.

Residents' Rights versus

Caregivers' Rights

The US Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit had to resolve the apparent conflict between residents' rights to personal privacy, bodily integrity, autonomy and choice of healthcare providers versus the rights of minority healthcare employees to freedom from racial discrimination and hostility in the workplace.

The Court ruled that the facility's practice of honoring patients' requests for caregivers based on race was overtly discriminatory and violated Title VII of the US Civil Rights Act.

Beyond that, posting such requests from patients for all employees to see and abide by created a racially hostile work environment for African-American employees. The racist attitudes and behaviors of the other CNA's were the direct result of the racially hostile work environment the facility created, the Court said.

Gender-Preference Cases Are Not Analogous

Federal and state courts have ruled that a caregiver's gender can be a bona fide The rationale was that doing otherwise occupational qualification for a healthcare facility striving to protect a patient's personal privacy rights surrounding intimate personal care.

It is not gender discrimination not to such intimate personal care to a patient The daily patient assignment sheet who has expressed a preference for a same-

However, according to the Court, the touched by a doctor or nurse of the opposite sex does not apply to race. The law tolerates same-sex restrooms and dressing rooms but not white-only restrooms or dressing rooms.

A healthcare employer can respect a giver, but not same-race, the Court went on to say. Chaney v. Plainfield Healthcare, __ F. 3d __, 2010 WL 2813644 (7th Cir., July 20, 2010).

The work environment was racially hostile. That hostility came from daily reminders to the CNA that she was looked down upon as less than her white coworkers.

The daily assignment sheet noted some patients' preferences for "No Black CNA's."

Not only was that humiliating to her, it brought out racist attitudes and sanctioned racist behavior from other employees.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS SEVENTH CIRCUIT July 20, 2010