Meconium Aspiration/Asphyxia: Nurse Held Responsible For **Delayed Cesarean Delivery.**

The obstetric physician settled out of court for \$950,000. The case went to trial only against the hospital, as the labor and delivery nurse's employer. The jury ruled the baby's damages were \$5.2 million, with the physician 57% at fault and the nurse 43% at fault.

As the courts in Missouri do the math in a situation like this, the hospital was held liable for \$2.2 million in damages, that is, 43% of \$5.2 million, with no further liability placed on the physician beyond his out-ofcourt settlement.

The Missouri Court of Appeals approved the breakdown of fault and the total amount of damages. The damages were intended to compensate the child for the albeit short lifetime of special care she, would need. The child was sightless, speechless, deaf and unable to control her limbs or swallow, due to cerebral palsy related to perinatal hypoxia and ischemia stemming from meconium aspiration.

Nursing Negligence Oxygen Mask

Before any fetal distress was apparent, the physician ordered five liters of Q by mask. That was four hours after the mother's water broke. She had become well dilated and wanted to start pushing.

strap on the mask to stay put around her view a day-in-the-life video in court about head, and just forgot about it.

the nurse ignoring the O_2 contributed from the jurors and motivated them to bring greatly to fetal hypoxia, even though it did in a large verdict. not seem critical to the nurse at the time.

Monitor Tracings

ally marked it on the monitor strip.

However, the nurse did not turn the mother on her side, did not put on the oxy- video about the baby's nursing care was The possibility of something like that being gen mask, did not increase the O and her an acceptable means for the family to prove present would be just another reason why the physician.

The baby was injured by prolonged lack of oxygen during birth.

The jury attributed it, at least in part, to the fact the nurse did not promptly ask the physician to return when she noticed abnormal monitor tracings, did not promptly turn the mother on her side and did not ensure that the mother was wearing her oxygen mask.

The physician came back into the room and tried to force a vaginal delivery with a vacuum extractor, while the heart rated dropped further.

As indicated above, the jury ruled the physician's negligence in doing that rather than calling for a cesarean was 57% the cause of the baby's injuries.

Day-In-The-Life Videotape **Of Baby's Nursing Care**

The hospital's attorneys argued it was The nurse had difficulty getting the prejudicial to the hospital for the jury to the profoundly injured baby. They claimed With hindsight the court believed that it aroused too much emotional sympathy

The baby needed constant specialized nursing care, including a ventilator to Three minutes after the physician breathe, a feeding tube in her nose and a stepped out of the room believing all was catheter to remove bodily wastes, frequent going well, the fetal heart rate dropped to a suctioning, daily physical therapy and ruled there was nursing negligence by a non-reassuring level, and the nurse actu- medications to relax her stiffness and control her seizures.

Medical Center, 33 S.W. 3d 629 (Mo. App., pital, 621 N.W. 2d 259 (Minn. App., 2001).

Fetal Heart Tracings: Court Faults Nurse, Did Not See Abnormality Or Call Physician.

fter noticing decreased fetal move-A ments in her thirty-sixth week, the mother talked to her obstetrician.

Her obstetrician told her to go to the hospital's labor and delivery unit if she did not feel four or five movements every twenty to thirty minutes.

She followed her physician's advice and went to the hospital. At the hospital an external fetal heart monitor was started.

From the outset the readings were abnormal, but the nurse failed to note it or chart it or report it to the physician, until all activity stopped, an ultrasound was done and fetal demise was pronounced.

Α non-reassuring fetal heart tracing mandates immediate medical attention.

The physician may elect to do acoustical or other fetal stimulation or an ultrasound or may just call a cesarean right away.

But it all depends on prompt notification from a competent and vigilant labor and delivery nurse.

COURT OF APPEALS OF MINNESOTA, 2001.

The Court of Appeals of Minnesota hospital employee.

The court also ruled it was no defense The court ruled that a day-in-the-life the autopsy revealed a true umbilical knot. IV fluids, did not tell her to stop pushing to the jury that the baby deserved a sub- careful strip monitoring is essential, the and waited ten to fifteen minutes to go get stantial verdict. Long v. Missouri Delta court indicated. Demgen v. Fairview Hos-

Legal Eagle Eye Newsletter for the Nursing Profession

April, 2001 Page 5

Legal information for nurses Legal Eagle Eye Newsletter for the Nursing Profession home page.