# LEGAL EAGLE EYE NEWSLETTER July 2003 For the Nursing Profession Volume 11 Number 7

## **Employment References: Court Rules That Nurse Cannot Sue For Defamation.**

A registered nurse voluntarily resigned from the hospital's neonatal intensive care unit. Ten days later she put in an employment application at a nursing agency.

The agency required her to send her former employer a form entitled "Confidential Reference Check Report." The form asked her former supervisor to check boxes for "Above Average," "Average" or "Below Average" in eight categories: performance, adaptability, judgment, dependability, cooperation, initiative, personality and attendance.

The form also asked the former supervisor to state whether or not he or she would rehire the applicant.

The form included an authorization for the applicant to sign stating, "I hereby authorize the addressed individual [supervisor] to furnish an employment reference verification/evaluation to [agency] and do hereby release both parties from any and all liability for damages in the furnishing and receiving of this information."

The nurse signed the authorization and sent the form to her former supervisor, who checked "Average" for the first three categories, "Below Average" for the remaining five and indicated she would not rehire her.



By signing the agency's employment-reference authorization form the nurse expressly authorized her former employer to fill out the form.

Furthermore, the nurse expressly gave up the right to sue her former employer for checking the boxes on the form in a way that indicated a substandard evaluation of her competency as a nurse.

COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA June 3, 2003

#### **Defamation Lawsuit Dismissed**

The nurse's application sat at the agency for eight months and she was not offered any work. She sued the hospital and her former supervisor for defamation. The Court of Appeals of Indiana dismissed her lawsuit.

Information about an individual's current or past employment is by law strictly confidential. However, when an individual expressly authorizes elease of confidential information there is no right to turn around and sue for defamation, slander, libel, invasion of privacy, etc., if the information is not favorable and it hurts the individual's ability to obtain employment.

### Former Supervisor Stayed Within the Scope of the Authorization

The court made a very important point: The authorization signed by the applicant only authorized the supervisor to check the boxes on the form, and that was all the supervisor did.

The form did not authorize the supervisor to discuss the applicant or to volunteer written comments beyond what the form asked for. The supervisor and the hospital would have been *b*gally liable if the supervisor had done that. <u>Eitler v. St. Joseph's Regional</u> <u>Medical Center</u>, <u>N.E. 2d \_, 2003 WL</u> 21267125 (Ind. App., June 3, 2003).

## Inside this month's issue ...

July 2003 New Subscriptions Page 3 Employment References/Defamation Lawsuit Emergency Room Nursing - Discrimination/Nurse Manager Posting Post-Surgical Nursing/Pulmonary Thromboembolism Nursing License/Mental Health Evaluation/Board Must Pay Defamation/Wrongful Discharge/Nurse Whistleblowers Racial Bias/Caucasian Nurse - Racial Bias/African American Nurse Nursing Home Resident's Funds - Labor Law/Charge Nurses Jail Nursing/HIV+ Inmate - Nurse's Feet/Occupational Disease