Confidentiality: Court Rules When Patient's Name May Be Revealed.

**** facility for negligent handling during treatment which allegedly caused a neck adverse events related to medical devices and the associated labeling. injury.

Her lawyers sought a court order re- Register on February 28, 2005. quiring the facility to divulge the name of during the events in question. The facility refused, citing Federal and state medical confidentiality laws.

As long as the other patient's medical condition or the nature of her treatment is not indirectly revealed in the process, the patient's name itself is not protected by the medical confidentialitv laws.

> NEW YORK SUPREME COURT **NEW YORK COUNTY** May 13, 2005

The New York Supreme Court, New York County, ordered the facility to divulge the roommate's name as a potential zation. witness in the lawsuit.

court reasoned that the other patient's admission to such a facility, in and of itself, did not indirectly imply anything that was confidential about her medical condition or the treatment she had received.

On the other hand, the court said a different facility, for example "... Cardiac Institute," could never reveal another patient's name as a potential witness, as that would necessarily indirectly reveal the other patient's medical condition in violation of Federal and state medical confidentiality laws. Rogers v. NYU Hosp. Center, 795 N.Y.S. 2d 438 (N.Y. Super., May 13, 2005).

Medical Devices, **Adverse Event** Reporting: FDA Revises Rules.

which were first reported in the Federal

her roommate who was allegedly present do not change the substance of existing rule in final form. regulations, but merely express the existing regulations in language that is easier for the public to understand.

> The FDA's medical-device reporting regulation revisions announced February 28, 2005 take effect in final form on July 13, 2005.

FEDERAL REGISTER June 15, 2005 Page 34652

The FDA's medical-device adverseevent reporting regulations apply, in part, to hospitals and other healthcare facilities which fall under the FDA's definition of users of medical devices.

These revised rules from the FDA are far too lengthy and complex for summari-

We have placed the full text of the This was a general rehab facility. The FDA's February 28, 2005 Federal Register for summarization. announcement on our website at http:// www.nursinglaw.com/medicaldevices.pdf. The rules themselves start at Page 9561 of the announcement. Readers' attention is directed to Subpart C which deals with user-facility reporting.

> The FDA's regulations published in the Federal Register are an original US Government work which we cannot copyredistribute this material from our website.

FEDERAL REGISTER June 15, 2005 Page 34652

Tissue/Cell **Donors: New** Rules From FDA.

n May 25, 2005 the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued n June 15, 2005 the US Food and an interim final rule to amend existing Drug Administration (FDA) adopted FDA regulations regarding the screening former patient was suing her rehab in final form, effective July 13, 2005, the and testing of donors of human cells, tisrevisions of existing rules for reporting of sues and cellular and tissue-based products

> The FDA will accept public comments on the interim final rule until August 23, According to the FDA, these revisions 2005 and at that time may issue a revised

> > The FDA's interim final rule takes effect May 25, 2005.

> > The rule applies to screening and testing of donors of stem/progenitor cells, bone marrow, sperm, ovaries, cytopreserved embryos, etc.

> > The rule also covers labeling requirements for tissue intended only for autologous use and tissue that has not been tested for infectious agents.

FEDERAL REGISTER May 25, 2005 Pages 29949 - 29952

These new FDA rules are too complex

We have placed the full text of the FDA's May 25 Federal Register announcement on our website at http:// www.nursinglaw.com/humancells.pdf. Anyone can download, print and/or redistribute the FDA's announcement from our website, as it is an original US Government work which we cannot copyright.

We review the Federal Register daily right. Anyone can download, print and/or for pertinent content and will advise our readers of any new developments.

FEDERAL REGISTER May 25, 2005 Pages 29949 - 29952