Abuse Reports, Age Discrimination: Court Dismisses LPN's Lawsuit.

n LPN charge nurse sued her former Aemployer, a nursing facility, for age discrimination after she was fired for noncompliance with the facility's policy for reporting abuse and neglect of residents.

The US Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit dismissed her case.

Nursing Facility's Policy Mandated Reporting of Known or Suspected Abuse or Neglect

The nursing home's written policy required any employee who witnessed or suspected abuse or neglect of a resident or misappropriation of a resident's property to report it immediately to the employee's own supervisor.

Supervisors, in turn, were required to inform the nursing home administrator immediately so that the situation could be promptly investigated.

Failure to report known or suspected mistreatment of a resident was grounds for disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

"hateful" tone telling a resident she could crimination simply because she was fired not come back to her room and feed her at age fifty-five and her former position until she collected all of the other breakfast was filled by a much younger person. That trays. Later that morning she heard the did not nail down her discrimination case same aide yelling at a resident who asked but it did force the facility to come forward her for more ice water, telling him she had with a legitimate, non-discriminatory reabrought him some earlier that morning and son for her termination. might not bring him any more until tomorrow if he kept pestering her.

LPN charge nurse what she heard. The mate reporting policy that left no discretion LPN charge nurse, however, did not relay to her to decide if the incident squarely fit it to the administrator. She decided instead the definition of abuse or whether it should to monitor the situation and see whether or be reported to the administrator. not the problem persisted.

ity's QI director, who told the administrator to the administrator. Other employees who suspected abuse internally before going to tor, who interviewed both of the aides, two were aware of the situation but did not go outside agencies. other aides and the LPN charge nurse and to the administrator were not supervisors fired the first aide for verbal abuse of a and did not have the same responsibilities. resident and the LPN charge nurse for violation of the facility's mandatory reporting relevant to the charge nurse's duty to repolicy. The aide who went to the QI direc- port known or suspected abuse that the first was intended only to provide the means to tor was written up but was not fired be- aide's actions were eventually determined correct the problem as quickly as possible. cause she did report what she heard, albeit not to have fit the definition of abuse, but later than she should have.

After her termination the LPN charge nurse, fifty-five years of age at the time, sued for age discrimination.

Age discrimination occurs when a forty-plus year-old is subjected to discipline not visited upon younger persons or replaced by a significantly younger person, unless the employer is able to show a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason.

Failure to follow a facility's legitimate policy for reporting of known or suspected abuse is a justifiable reason for termination.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS SIXTH CIRCUIT December 21, 2011

No Age Discrimination Found

The Court conceded the LPN charge An aide heard another aide use a nurse had a prima facie case of age dis-

The Court found a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for her termination The aide waited a while, then told the in the fact she violated the facility's legiti-

The next day the aide told the facil- report known or suspected abuse or neglect required employees to report known or

Britthaven, Inc., 2011 WL 6415109 (6th Cir., December 21, 2011).

Abuse: Facility Can Require Internal Reporting, **Court Says**.

supervisor in a group home was fired A for failing to report physical and verbal abuse of a resident to the long-term care ombudsman as required by state law.

In fact, she had hired the individual and let him start work before a background check was completed as required by state law and let him continue working after the incidents of mistreatment occurred.

The facility's policy is legitimate to require internal reporting of known or suspected abuse before reporting to outside agencies.

It is not meant to prevent mandatory reporters from fulfilling their legal obligations or to cover up incidents of abuse or neglect.

Management needs to take action immediately and cannot wait to hear from the ombudsman's office while abuse or neglect could be ongoing.

CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL January 11, 2012

In passing, the California Court of Appeal pointed out that the group home Her supervisory responsibility was to had a legitimate need for a policy which

The group home's policy was not intended to prevent mandatory reporters Moreover, the Court ruled it was not from doing their legal duty or designed to cover up alleged mistreatment. The policy

Among other things, the abuse in merely displayed a "bad attitude" toward question included a photograph being persons under her care which nonetheless taken of a resident without written consent justified her termination. Rutherford v. from the resident's guardian. Swindle v. Res-Care, 2012 WL 86406 (Cal. App., January 11, 2012).

Legal Eagle Eye Newsletter for the Nursing Profession

More legal Information for nurses is available at Legal Eagle Eye Newsletter for the Nursing Profession Home Page.