"English-Only" Rule: Hispanic **Caregivers Awarded Settlement** In Class-Action Lawsuit.

US Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Regulations

"Speak-English-Only Rules"

requiring employees to speak only English on behalf of fifty-three Hispanic employat all times in the workplace is a burdensome term and condition of employment. The primary language of an individual is often an essential national origin characteristic. Prohibiting employees at all times, in agreement with the EEOC to protect their the workplace, from speaking their primary Hispanic employees from future discrimilanguage or the language they speak most nation, to provide them with opportunities comfortably, disadvantages an individual's for English-language proficiency training employment opportunities on the basis of and to institute in-service training for sunational origin. It may also create an at- pervisors and managers as to their obligamosphere of inferiority, isolation and in- tions under US Civil Rights laws. timidation based on national origin which could result in a discriminatory working environment.

times. An employer may have a rule re- from conversing with one another in a naquiring that employees speak only in Eng- tive language other than English. This lish at certain times where the employer includes on-the-job communication as well can show that the rule is justified by busi- as communications while off duty, going ness necessity.

(c) Notice of the rule. It is common for individuals whose primary language is not English to inadvertently change from justification for an employer to require language. Therefore, if an employer be- and to speak only in English, according to lieves it has a business necessity for a the EEOC guidelines. speak-English-only rule at certain times, the employer should inform its employees communication which is necessary for the of the general circumstances when speak- job with other employees who do not speak ing only in English is required and of the the employee's native language. consequences of violating the rule. If an employer fails to effectively notify its em- hospital is permitted to have a rule expectployees of the rule and makes an adverse ing housekeepers who do not have English employment decision against an individual based on a violation of the rule, the Commission will consider the employer's application of the rule as evidence of discrimination on the basis of national origin.

Selection Procedures

The Commission will carefully invescommunicate well in English.

he US Equal Employment Commission (EEOC) filed a national-origin discrimination lawsuit in the US District (a) When applied at all times. A rule Court for the Central District of California ees of seventeen nursing facilities.

> The lawsuit resulted in monetary compensation totaling \$450,000.

The facilities also entered into an

English-Only Rules

National Origin Discrimination

In a nutshell, it is unlawful national-(b) When applied only at certain origin discrimination to prohibit employees and coming, on break, etc.

Business Necessity

Business necessity is an acceptable speaking English to speaking their primary employees to have proficiency in English

Business necessity includes verbal

For example, the courts have ruled a as their first language to be able to speak to and to receive instructions from nurses who do not speak their language. The rationale is that effective communication is essential to the hospital's patients' safety and wellbeing.

Business necessity also includes the tigate employment selection procedures ability to communicate with members of involving fluency in English requirements, the public not fluent in the employee's such as denying employment opportunities native language, but only if dealing with because of a foreign accent or inability to the public is a necessary part of the employee's job. EEOC v. Royal Wood Care Ctr. et al., 2009 WL 2569472 (C.D. Cal., April 9, 2009).